Modification of CHF and BIC coefficients for Evaluation of Clustering with Mixed Type Variables
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Abstract
Cluster analysis is a multivariate statistical method, which is used to classify objects. It is used in many areas, such as the classification of customers or respondents in various marketing surveys. Individual objects are characterized by different variables. Variables can be quantitative and qualitative. Depending on the type of variables it is necessary to select the appropriate method of measuring distances of objects and clusters. There are many ways how to measure these distances and it is not clearly defined how to choose specific measure in different conditions. Depending on the extent of distances and the method chosen may arise different clusters, and thus different results. For this reason, it is necessary to evaluate the clustering result. The evaluation should analyze the numbers of clusters and different clustering methods. There are many coefficients for evaluate results of clustering. In the current literature are defined in particular coefficients, which are used for the quantitative variables. For variables of mixed types (a combination of qualitative and quantitative) are coefficients described only in a very limited extent. The aim of this paper is to analyze the modified coefficients CHF and BIC on real data sets in case of mixed types variables.
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1. Introduction
Cluster analysis is a multivariate statistical method, which is used to classify objects. It is used in many areas, such as the classification of customers or respondents in various marketing surveys. Cluster analysis involves a broad scale of techniques. Hence an important factor when examining data structure is therefore the comparison of resulting clusters obtained by various algorithms and selection of the best assignment of objects to clusters. Determining the optimal number of clusters is also important.
Current literature draws attention particularly to the evaluation of clustering in a situation when individual objects are characterized only by quantitative variables, see Gan (2007), Halkidi (2001).
The aim of this paper is to analyze the modified coefficients CHF and BIC on real data sets in case of mixed types variables.
For determining the number of clusters we suggest to modify the CHF index, which is defined in Gan (2007). We modify the CHFH index in the form

\[ I_{\text{CHFH}}(k) = \frac{(n-k) \cdot [H(1) - H(k)]}{(k-1) \cdot H(k)} \],

(1)

or the CHFG index in the form

\[ I_{\text{CHFG}}(k) = \frac{(n-k) \cdot [G(1) - G(k)]}{(k-1) \cdot G(k)} \],

(2)

where \( n \) is the number of objects, \( k \) is number of clusters, and

\[ H(k) = \sum_{h=1}^{k} \frac{n_h}{n} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{m_1} \frac{1}{2} \ln(s_r^2 + s_{ht}^2) + \sum_{t=1}^{m_2} \left( \frac{n_{htu}}{n_h} \ln \frac{n_{htu}}{n_h} \right) \right), \]

(3)

where \( m_1 \) is the number of quantitative variables, \( m_2 \) is the number of nominal variables, \( s_r^2 \) is the sample variance of the \( r \)th variable, \( s_{ht}^2 \) is the sample variance of the \( r \)th variable in the \( h \)th cluster, \( K_t \) is the number of categories of the \( r \)th variable, \( n_{htu} \) is the frequency of the \( r \)th category of the \( r \)th variable in the \( h \)th cluster, and \( n_h \) is the number of objects in the \( h \)th cluster, and where

\[ G(k) = \sum_{h=1}^{k} \frac{n_h}{n} \left( \sum_{r=1}^{m_1} \frac{1}{2} \ln(s_r^2 + s_{ht}^2) + \sum_{t=1}^{m_2} \left( 1 - \frac{n_{htu}}{n_h} \right)^2 \right). \]

(4)

The high values of \( I_{\text{CHFH}} \) or \( I_{\text{CHFG}} \) indicate well separated clusters, i.e. the maximum value within a certain interval is searched.

The Schwarz Bayesian information criterion (BIC) can also be applied to determine the optimal number of clusters, see Řezanková (2010). It can be calculated according to the formula

\[ I_{\text{BIC}}(k) = 2H(k) + k(2m_1 + \sum_{t=1}^{m_2} (K_t - 1) \ln(n)) . \]

(5)

We newly suggest also used \( G(k) \) instead of \( H(k) \). This criterion will be denoted as \( I_{\text{BICG}} \) in the following text and it can be calculated according to the formula

\[ I_{\text{BICG}}(k) = 2G(k) + k(2m_1 + \sum_{t=1}^{m_2} (K_t - 1) \ln(n)) . \]

(6)

The estimate of the number of clusters is determined on the basis of the minimum value of this coefficient.

2. Results

In this part of our paper we discuss the results and conclusions of the practical application of suggested coefficients applicable to mixed type variables. We used data files from the UCI Machine Learning Repository, see http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets.html) are analyzed. In all cases we used two cluster analysis, which is implemented to the IBM SPSS system. The BIC index is stated as a representant of the existing coefficients for a comparison with newly proposed indices.

We used totally 33 data files, for example Wine File, the German credit data file, IRIS from the UCI Machine Learning Repository etc. We knew correct number of clusters. We used results from SPSS system – we received membership of objects to clusters and I calculated all modified criterions.
Figure 1: Success rate of individual criterions in given of number of clusters

As we can see from figure 1, the CHFG index determined the correct number of clusters in most cases (93.33 %). The second successful criterion was the CHFH index (73.33 %). The BIC criterion determines the correct number of clusters in 40.0 % cases and my modification of BIC criterion (using Gini coefficient instead of Entropy, which is used in known BIC criterion) was successful in 46.67 % of cases.

3. Conclusion

In this paper we evaluated selected indices for determining the number of clusters when objects are characterized by mixed type variables. On the basis of real data files analyses (Database The UCI Machine Learning Repository website: http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets.html). We compared three newly proposed indices with the known BIC criterion, which is implemented in two-step cluster analysis in the IBM SPSS Statistics system. Criterion based on Gini coefficient ($I_{CHFG}$ and $I_{BICG}$) were more successful than criterion based on Entropy ($I_{CHFH}$ and $I_{BIC}$). The CHFG index determined the correct number of clusters in most cases (93.33 %). The second successful criterion was the CHFH index (73.33 %). The BIC criterion determines the correct number of clusters in 40.0 % cases and my modification of BIC criterion (using Gini coefficient instead of Entropy, which is used in known BIC criterion) was successful in 46.67 % of cases.

In conclusion, we can say that our modifications are more successful in evaluatig the results of clustering than the commonly used coefficient BIC, which is implemented in the IBM SPSS. We can say, that modifications using the Gini coefficient are also more successful than modifications that uses entropy too.
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