Abstract

In this article, we consider control theoretic splines with $L^1$ optimization for rejecting outliers in data. Control theoretic splines are either interpolating or smoothing splines, depending on a cost function with a constraint defined by linear differential equations. Control theoretic splines are effective for Gaussian noise in data since the estimation is based on $L^2$ optimization. However, in practice, there may be outliers in data, which may occur with vanishingly small probability under the Gaussian assumption of noise, to which $L^2$-optimized spline regression may be very sensitive. To achieve robustness against outliers, we propose to use $L^1$ optimality, which is also used in support vector regression. A numerical example shows the effectiveness of the proposed method.
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1 Introduction

Control theoretic spline is generalization of the smoothing spline proposed in Kimeldorf & Wahba (1971), Wahba (1990), using control theoretic ideas, by which the spline curve is determined by the output of a linear control system. Control theoretic splines give a richer class of smoothing curves relative to polynomial curves. They have been proved to be useful for trajectory planning in Egerstedt & Martin (2001), mobile robots in Takahashi & Martin (2004), contour modeling of images in Kano et al. (2008), probability distribution estimation in Charles et al. (2010), to name a few. For
more applications and a rather complete theory of control theoretic splines, see Egerstedt & Martin (2010).

Control theoretic splines are effective for reducing Gaussian noise in data since the estimation is based on $L^2$ optimization. This means that the noise distribution is assumed to decay very rapidly as the amplitude increases ($\propto e^{-|x|^2/2}$). However, in practice, there may exist outliers in data, which may occur with vanishingly small probability under the assumption of the Gaussian distribution of noise. To such noise, $L^2$-optimized spline regression may be very sensitive.

Instead, we adopt $L^1$ optimality for regression to achieve robustness against outliers. That is, we assume Laplacian distribution for noise, which is distributed much more slowly ($\propto e^{-|x|}$) than Gaussian tails. This is related to support vector regression (SVR) (see e.g. Schölkopf & Smola (2002)), which can be reduced to convex optimization that can be efficiently solved by numerical optimization (see e.g. Boyd & Vandenberghe (2004)).

## 2 $L^1$-Optimal Splines

Consider the following linear system $P$:

$$P : \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + bu(t), \\ y(t) = c^\top x(t), \quad t \in [0, \infty). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $b, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x(0) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We assume $(A, b)$ is controllable and $(c^\top, A)$ is observable. For this system, suppose that we are given data $D := \{(t_1, y_1), (t_2, y_2), \ldots (t_N, y_N)\}$, where $t_1, \ldots, t_N$ are sampling instants which satisfy $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_N =: T$. The objective here is to find the control input $u(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$ for the system (1) such that $y(t_i) \approx y_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. For this purpose, the following quadratic cost function has been introduced in Sun et al. (2000), Egerstedt & Martin (2010):

$$J_q(u) := \lambda \int_0^T |u(t)|^2 dt + \sum_{i=1}^N w_i (y(t_i) - y_i)^2, \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is the regularization parameter that specifies the tradeoff between the smoothness of $u$ defined in the first term of (2) and the minimization of the empirical risk in the second term. Also, $w_i > 0$ is a weight for $i$-th empirical risk. The optimal control $u = u_q^*$ that minimizes $J_q(u)$ is
given by Sun et al. (2000), Egerstedt & Martin (2010) as

\[ u_q^*(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_q^*[i] g_i(t), \quad (3) \]

where \( g_i(t) \) is defined by

\[ g_i(t) := \begin{cases} 
    e^{	op} e^{A(t_i - t)} b, & \text{if } t_i > t, \\
    0, & \text{if } t_i \leq t, 
\end{cases} \quad (4) \]

and the optimal coefficients \( \theta_q[1], \ldots, \theta_q[N] \) are given by

\[ \theta_q^* := [\theta_q^*[1], \ldots, \theta_q^*[N]]^\top = (\lambda W^{-1} + G)^{-1} y, \quad (5) \]

where \( y := [y_1, \ldots, y_N]^\top \). The matrix \( G \) in (5) is the Grammian defined by \( G_{ij} = \langle g_i, g_j \rangle \), \( i, j = 1, \ldots, N \).

In (2), the empirical risk (the second term) is measured by \( L^2 \) norm. This is based on the assumption that the noise added to the data is Gaussian. However, there may exist outliers in data, which may be ignored under the Gaussian assumption of noise. To such outliers, the regression may be very sensitive.

To overcome this, we introduce the following distribution function instead of Gaussian, called \( \epsilon \)-insensitive function (see Schölkopf & Smola (2002)):

\[ p(\xi) = \frac{1}{2(1 + \epsilon)} \exp(-|\xi|_\epsilon), \quad (6) \]

where \( \epsilon > 0 \) is a fixed parameter and \( |\xi|_\epsilon := \max(|\xi| - \epsilon, 0) \). The distribution is an "insensitive" version of the Laplace distribution, which is given by setting \( \epsilon = 0 \).

The distribution (6) has heavier tails than the Gaussian distribution, and hence leads to more robust regression against outliers. Assuming this distribution, we introduce the following cost function:

\[ J := \frac{1}{2} \| \theta \|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\theta^\top \varphi_i - y_i|_\epsilon, \quad (7) \]

where \( C > 0 \) is the regularization parameter and \( \varphi_i := [G_{i1}, G_{i2}, \ldots, G_{iN}]^\top \).

The optimization above can be effectively solved by employing the method of support vector regression (see Schölkopf & Smola (2002)). That is, the
optimization is reduced to the following convex optimization:

$$\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad \frac{1}{2}\|\theta\|_2^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^N (\xi_i + \hat{\xi}_i) \\
\text{subject to} & \quad y_i \leq \theta^\top \varphi_i + \epsilon + \xi_i, \quad y_i \geq \theta^\top \varphi_i - \epsilon - \hat{\xi}_i, \quad \xi_i \geq 0, \quad \hat{\xi}_i \geq 0.
\end{align*}$$

3 Example

We here show an example. Let us consider a linear system (1) with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c^\top = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that this system has its transfer function as $P(s) = \frac{1}{(s+1)(s-1)}$. The sampling instants are taken by $t_i = 0.1 + (i-1) \times 0.25$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 21$, and the data $y_i$ is generated by $y_i = \sin 2t_i + e_i$, where $e_1, \ldots, e_{21}$ are noise and including an outlier at $t_7 = 1.6$ as shown in Fig. 1.

With these data, we compute two kinds of control; the proposed control $u^*$ with $\theta = \theta^*$ minimizing $J$ in (7), and the conventional $L^2$-optimal

Figure 1: Original curve $y(t) = \sin 2t$ (dashed line), sampled data $D$ (circles), reconstructed curves via $L^1$ optimization (solid line) and via $L^2$ optimization (dash-dotted line).
control $u_q^*$ minimizing $J_q$ in (2). The regression result is shown in Fig. 1. Fig. 2 also shows the regression error. We can see that the conventional $L^2$-optimal regression shows high sensitivity to the outlier and around there the regression error becomes very large. On the other hand, the result by the proposed $L^1$ optimization shows much more robust regression.

4 Conclusion

In this article, we have proposed outlier rejection for control theoretic splines based on $L^1$ optimization. While conventional $L^2$-based splines are effective for Gaussian noise, they are very sensitive to outliers. To achieve robustness against them, we have propose to adopt $L^1$ optimality in the regression. A numerical example has shown the effectiveness of our method. Future works include to design constrained splines as discussed in Nagahara & Martin (2013), and to investigate the sparsity property of $L^1$-optimal splines as in Nagahara & Quevedo (2011), Nagahara, Matsuda & Hayashi (2012), Nagahara, Quevedo & Østergaard (2012).
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